

1927-28

File No. 269-27

Previous References

(*mur*)

Serial Nos. 1-4

Mur 261-23

" *122-724*

Later References

210-28 85 1-4

Mur

say 161-49-1-2-

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. *1-4*

Part B for *April 1928.*

SUBJECT

*add. insignias to the Chowda dam pu
Mall-Ranebennur Taluk.
Dharwad D.*

*G.O. NO. 173// Mur 269-27-2 & 30.3.28
Said ? certain add. insignias to the
Mall-Ranebennur Taluk*

24/
17/41

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(muzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 269-27

FILE HEADING.

Grant of a Rahadari to Chowdahanapura
matt in the Ranabennur Taluk of the
Dharwar District.

FROM

The muzrai Comr.

No. C. 889 Dated 28-1-28 Received

Diary No. 998 Enclos. Spare copies — Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Solicits permission to issue a fresh Rahadari
mentioning 5 insignias refd. to in para 4 and certain
other adjoining ones, in addition to those granted
in G.O. no. 1586-7/muz. 24-25 of 7. 6. 1926.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The 5 insignias now recommended are noted
below. —

(1) Dhanala Sanka ^{R.H.} (2) Aftagin ^{R.H.} (3) Bhro-
chakra ^{S.H.} Kote (4) Surya ^{S.H.} Vadga and (5)
Chandra ^{S.H.} Vadga - The m o's letter.

General and Revenue

Secretariat.

Muz

File No.

269

of 1927-28

Serial
No.

cases for conceding these insignia
in addition to certain ordinary ones,
to the mat in question

Submit. But in the meantime
'SV 228 recommended by the
Muz Comr.

Dhaval la Sam the after for
the Royal honors, and
Sm ya Vadga, Chandra Vadga & Bhoochahat
Kode are special honors

The honors fixed in the
G.O. of the 7th June 1926 were
granted after ~~full~~ ^{a full} consideration
of all the aspects of the case
and it is a point of for orders as
to whether the order requires re-
consideration.

EB. 13
4849

Bd

27/2/28

MS
27/2

998.

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Muz. File No.

269 of 1927-28

Serial
No.


Muzrai Commissioners Messrs Chandy and Bala-sundaram Iyer were also in favour of allowing these honours. Mr. K.S. Srinivasa Iyengar who dealt with this case in April 1926 considered that the status of this Mutt was somewhat low-~~er~~^{er} and ^{that} it did not, therefore, require to be shown all the honours asked for. The following are the five insignias asked for in addition to those already allowed and recommended by the Muzrai Commissioner:-

- (1) Dhavala Sanka.
- (2) Aftagiri.
- (3) Boochakrada Kode.
- (4) Surya Vadya.
- (5) Chandra Vadya.

Item No. (1) has not been allowed to Murgi Mutt. The latter is an important Lingayet Mutt in the State and an honour not allowed to it cannot be shown to a Mutt situated outside the State. Items Nos. (2) to (5) are minor ones and as they have been allowed to other Lingayet Mutts of similar standing, in and outside the State, I think they may be permitted to be used by the Head of this Mutt as well. The Order dated 7th June 1926 may, in these circumstances, be revised by the addition of these four honours. The other ordi-

nary

NOTE—Contn sheet.

General and Revenue
Secretariat.Muz. File No. 269 of 1927-28Serial
No.

ordinary insignias to which the Muzrai Commissioner refers, are really not honours and they are more or less part of the paraphernalia which Heads of Mutts carry in their processions, and there is no objection to allow them.

A final Note is put in

(Dewan)

*mls
22.2.28
m*

NOTE—Contn sheet

Final Note by the Second Member of Council.

Subject:—

Representation on behalf of the Chowdadanapura Mutt for according certain Royal and Special Honours.

With His Highness' sanction, the Chowdadanapura Mutt in the Ranebennur Taluk, Dharwar District, was accorded the following Royal and Special Honours:—

Makara Thorana.
Hagal Divatigai.
Chamara.
Sweta Chatri (without Pancha kalasa).
Kavi Nishani.
Danka on horse.

2. The other insignia\$ asked for by the Mutt were not allowed as the then First Member of Council (Mr. K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar) was of opinion that the status of this Mutt was not as high as that of the Balehonnur, Ujjini or Murgli Mutts. Another representation has now been made for according these ~~Special~~ Honours also, and the Muzrai Commissioner has supported it. The following are the five insignia\$ asked, and the two previous Muzrai Commissioners Messrs Chandy and Balasundaram Iyer, were also in favour of their being allowed to this Mutt.

- {1} Dhavala Sanka.
- {2} Aftagiri.
- {3} Boochakrala Kode.
- {4} Surya Vadya.
- {5} Chanara Vadya.

3. Item No. (1) has not been allowed in the case of the important Lingayat Murgli Mutt, and it need not, therefore, be granted to an outside mutt. The other items are minor ones and as they have been allowed to other Lingayat Mutts of similar standing, in and outside the State, they may be permitted to be used by the Head of this Mutt as well. Gracious approval of His Highness is solicited for the revision

of the previous order, by the addition of these four honours.

Bangalore,
29th February 1923. }

M N Goudar
MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the Second
Member of Council on the ~~subject~~ representation
on behalf of the Chowdadanapura Mutt for according
certain Royal and Special Honours,
for His Highness' gracious approval.

Bangalore,
1st March 1928.


✓

Mirza M. Ismail
Dewan.

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders
of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Grant of certain honours to
the Chowdanapura Mutt in the Ranebennur
Taluk.



Order.

KRM

6/3/28

5098

recd
6/3

2nd member)

9/8/28

12683
10/3

case come
givers

only

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 269 of 1927-28

Serial
No.

Dt. G.O. Submt.

AV
16/3/28

16/3/28

Man of the month

28
15/3

Alfred Fairday is sub.

21-3-28 and (number)

28
21/3

my

24/3/28

Don

28
24/3

2 To Mr. Comr

No 1731 & 30.3.28

Sanctions the

inquiries except those already
allowed the ordinary in inquiries
to be the same.


B
3/4

NOTE—Contn. sheet

C 889/27-28
Encls. five

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner In Mysore,

Dated Bangalore the 27th January 1928.



40
1/2 From

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Commissioner In Mysore,

B A K C A L C E E.


To

Sreenivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

The Maharaja of Mysore,

Financial Department.



D. 998

Mer 269-27-1.

Sir,

Put up for
ref. in connection
of 7th June 1926

Adverting to Government Proceedings No. 1586-7/M.F. 24-25-2 Dated 7th June 1926 passing orders on the subject of the grant of a Rahadari to the Swamy of the Chowdadnapur Matha in the Ranebennur Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith letter Dated 7th January 1928 received from the Secretary, Mysore Voerasaiva Association, Bangalore, stating that the Rahadari already issued has caused disappointment to the members of the Community as it denies the right of display of certain insignias which are in use from time immemorial and requesting that the entire question may be sympathetically reviewed and permission accorded for the use of Birudus enjoyed by the Matha and allowed by other administrations, the question of " Cross Palanquin " being decided at the pleasure of Government.

From the previous correspondence on the subject it will be seen that having regard to the importance of the community and piety and learning of the Swamigalu, the late Muzrai Commissioner (Mr. V. Chandy) recommended that with the exception of the two Royal Insignias, viz., Adda Palaki and Panchakalasa, all the others may be allowed to be paraded by the Swamigalu in connection with his contemplated visit to the State. His successor, Mr. C.S. Balasunderam Iyer, while furnishing certain further information desired by Government reported that the papers and inscriptions then produced, the Matha at Chowdadnapur

appeared to be an ancient Institution and its Swamies were held in high regard and esteem and that the present Swami Sri Virupaksha Wodeyar --- seemed to be a worthy successor to this ancient peeta, judged by his --- learning and the respect which he commended and reiterated the ----- recommendation ^{made} ~~made~~ by his predecessor.

8 As regards the observation made in the Government Order of 7th June 1926 to the effect that " the Swamies of this Matha have not ---- visited Mysore in the past nor ^{has} the Matha produced the Rahadaris issued in its favour by other administrations," the Secretary of the Association has mentioned in his application that a certified ~~copy~~ copy of the Rahadari issued by the British Administration had been produced. In this ----- connection, I beg leave to invite kind reference to Mr. Balasundaram -- Iyer's letter of the 25 th July 1925 opining that " as regards the ---- Birudus enjoyed by the Swami in British India, the endorsement granted by the Collector of Dharwar District makes it abundently clear that the Swamigalu has been using the insignias mentioned in the Agent's application, the veracity of whose statements has been testified to by the --- Mahajanas of Ranebennur and other places "

N 4 From the Statement hereto appended, it will be seen that of the Royal and Special insignias claimed on behalf of the Matha and ----- recommended by my predecessors, five insignias, Viz., (1) Dhavalasankha (2) Aftagiri (3) Boochakreda Koda (4) Suryavadya (5) Chandravadya have been disallowed in addition to Addapalki and Panchakalasa. The Mathas at Bale-Honnur, Kurubarahatti near Chitaldrug and Ujjini (Dhavala Sankha excepted) are in the enjoyment of these insignias. They and the ---- Chowdadanpur Mutt are important Lingayat Mutts and the latter deserves to be given all the privileges conferred on the former. Moreover, as has already been submitted more than once, the present Swami of the --- Chowdadanpur Matha is a Pandit of a very higher order, is held in great veneration by the disciples and is allowed in Dharwar District to go in Adapalaki (Vide copy of the District Magistrate's Certificate) which is the highest honor conceded to a Mathadipathi. I beg therefore to ---- reiterate the previous recommendations made on behalf of the Swamigalu and to solicit permission to issue fresh Rahadari mentioning the above

five insignias and certain other ordinary ones which have been omitted to be mentioned in the Rahadari given on 29th June 1956 in addition to those which have already been mentioned. As the Swami has a fairly large number of disciples in the State, it is desirable that in the ~~respect~~ respect to be accorded to him according to official practice, he is -- not treated differently from the Swamis of a corresponding position in the State for subjects of the same denomination.

The favor of early orders is solicited.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant,

H. Bhattacharya ^{his} 28/1/58

Assistant Commissioner,
For Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent From Station)

RE

Power and Special Instructions
 10. Assigned to Onodocomputer as. Preliminary. Under Review. Attached.

1. Adult 1 Ind	1st allowed.	2nd allowed.	3rd allowed.	4th allowed.
2. Female Adult	do	do	not allowed.	do
3. Female Adult	Allowed	do	*	do
4. Adult 1 Ind	Allowed	Allowed	Allowed	do
5. Female Adult	Allowed	Allowed	not mentioned in the report.	do
6. Female Adult	Allowed	Allowed	Allowed.	do
7. Female Adult	Allowed	Allowed	Allowed.	do

1. Adult 1 Ind
 2. Female Adult
 3. Female Adult
 4. Adult 1 Ind
 5. Female Adult
 6. Female Adult
 7. Female Adult

H. Howard

FOR NUTRITIONAL COMPLETION.

OK

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

()

, the

192

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

May this be

- i Printed ?
- ii treated as a standing order ?
- iii published in the Gazette
- English Part ?
- v translated ?
- v placed at the disposal of the press ?
- vi published in the Gazette,
- ~~Kannada~~ Kannada Part ?

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

Gout. Pro no 1586 7/m
24-25-2 Lj 7th June 19

passing orders granting
certain insignias to the
dampur matt in the Ra-
bennur Taluk.

Letter no. C. 889 D 2
January 1920 from
Muzrai Commission
Order Thereon No.
in Mysore. Submission
Letter of 7th January 19
from the Secretary, Mys
Veera Sawa Association
Bangalore, and return
the previous recommendation
made on behalf of the
Commissioner, Ho and other

permission to issue a fresh
Rahadari mentioning the
marginally noted 5 insignias
and certain other insignias
ones which have been
omitted to be mentioned

The Rahadari given on
29th June 1926 in addition
to those which have already
been mentioned. Sanctioned.

- (1) Dhanal, a Sh
- (2) Aftagiri
- (3) Bhoochakra
- (4) Surya Vadya ^{code.}
- (5) Chandaa Vadya

Consider

On a re consideration of
all aspects of the case,
for ^{as per} direct that the
order of 29th June 1926
be ~~revised~~ ^{revised}, and the
under mentioned 4 addl
honors allowed to this

- (1) Aftagiri
- (2) Bhoochakra code
- (3) Surya Vadya, &
- (5) Chandaa Vadya

The other marginal insignias
to which the M.C. refers,
are really not honors, but

~~In firm~~
In this regard, the
M.C. has accorded the
following Royal & special
honors, namely:-
Kardana Tharana
Rajal Divatipin
Chamara
Sweta Chatri (with 10
Danda Kala
List of enclosures.
Kavi Mohan &
Danda on horse.

The other insignias ~~also~~
for which ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~honors~~
sentance has now been made
in according ~~to~~ ^{to} the ~~honors~~
also 2 the ~~honors~~ ^{honors}
supports the same.

Copied by

Examined by

of the 5 insignias ~~not~~
asked for ~~at~~ ^{at} mentioned in the
the ~~honors~~ ^{honors} ~~the~~ ^{the} read above,
N.D. 1893 - GPB - 1,000.00 - 21 12-21
has also been allowed
in the case of the ~~honors~~
highest ~~honors~~ ^{honors} ~~the~~ ^{the}

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

This will not therefore
be printed. The Mutt may
now be permitted to use
the other 4 items. The order
of June 1926 will be modified
to this extent.

The other ordinary uniforms

their use by this Mutt

more or less part of
The Paraphernalia the
heads of matts carry
in their processions
and I will have no
objection to allow them
their use by the said
Mutt.

Yours faithfully
J. L. Secretary
10/3/28
me
in my home 20

and
for mentioned in the Muzrai Commissioner's
letter read above, item no (1) Dhavala Shank
has not been allowed in the case of the
important Lingayat Muzrai Mutt. This will not
therefore be granted. The Mutt will be per-
mitted to use the other four items. The
order of June 1926 will be modified to this
extent.

2 The other ordinary insignias to which
the Muzrai Commissioner refers, are really
not honors, but more or less part of the
Paraphernalia which Heads of Mutts carry &
in their processions and Government have no
objection to allow their use by the said Mutts
Maybe allowed to be used

In view of the
representation of
the Mutt and of the
recommendation of
the M.C. Comt.
are pleased to permit
the use of the following
honours *in the following manner*
as *advised*
by the *S.O.* read
above
Aftagon
Mrochakiar
Surya Vadya
& Chandan Vadya

Secy. to Govt.
Fin. Department.

21. 3. 28

The Muzrai Commissioner returning
The enclosures.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

Read : -

Government proceedings No.1586-7/muz.24-25-2 dated 7th June 1926 passing orders ~~granting~~^{re} certain insignias to Chowdadenpur Matt in the Chenebennur Taluk.

Letter No.C.889 dated 28th January 1928 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, submitting letter dated 7th January 1928 from the Secretary, Mysore Teachers Association, Bangalore praying for the grant of the marginally noted five honors.


1. Dhavalashanka.
2. Aftagero.
3. Bhoochakrada Kode.
4. Surya Vadya.
5. Chandra Vadya.

Order No. 1731 / muz 269-27-2
Bangalore, Intel. 30th March 1928

In view of the representation of the Matt and of the recommendation of the Muzrai Commissioner, Government are pleased to permit the use of the following ^{four} honors - excluding Dhavalashanka - ~~four~~ in addition to those authorised in the Government Order read above.

1. Aftagero.
2. Bhoochakrada Kode.
3. Surya Vadya.
4. Chandra Vadya.

2. The other ordinary insignias to which the Muzrai Commissioner refers may be allowed to be used by the said Matt.

For draft
27/3
IL

Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

The Muzrai Commissioner returning enclosures.

Immediate.

889

27-8

157/77/76

(20)

mar 26 9-27-3

Office of the Mutual Commissioner

In Mysore,

Bangalore dated the 2nd April 1925

3

Dear Sir,

D/331

Referring to G.O. No. 1031/M.F. 269-27-3 dated 30th March 1925 Re: use of certain insignias by the Swamigalu of the Chodanapur Matha, I request you to kindly send back the list of insignias claimed by the President of the Veerasaiva Association, Mysore, on behalf of the Swamigalu and sent to the Revenue Secretary to Government with this office letter of G.No. 322/24-25 dated 3th November 1924.

As a revised rahedari has to be issued now, the favour of an early compliance is requested.

Yours Sincerely,

M. Gnananarayana

To

T. K. Someswara Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Assistant Secretary to Government,

Financial Department.

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc, not intended for permanent record.]

No list of inquiries rep'd
by the M.C. is retained in
this office

M.C. rep'd - M.C. is submit
for appl or
orders -

AV
9.4 28.

Bl
9.4 28

mm

MA

1074

V B - Should routine notes be written on small slips,
the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on
this form

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips,
the notes ~~must~~ be copied, or slips pasted on
this form

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

the

192

To

.....*Mr. Mazumdar*.....

Date of issue.....*11-4-28*.....

Signed by.....

Mr. L. K. S. Dey

List of enclosures.....

Copied by

Examined by

Sir,

*Adverting to your D.O.
letter no. 889 of 3-4-28,
I am directed to state
that the records received
with your letter no. 388
of 8-11-1924, re Billing
to Chowdasaapur a mat,
were returned to you
under cover of this office
letter no 1048 / Maz 122-24
of 16-1-1925, and there
no list of u-hic
pertaining^{over} to this mat*

Refd. to by you, is
retained in ~~the room~~
this office

I have etc.

^{MB}
to Miss. Selby.

9/11/2007